

**FILED**

**JUN 16 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JAMES RUSSELL BRADBURY,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-30121

D.C. No. CR-04-00135-TSZ

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Washington  
Thomas S. Zilly, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 12, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: FERNANDEZ, KLEINFELD, and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

James Russell Bradbury appeals from the district court's judgment and 120-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for possession of visual depictions of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct, in violation of

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

18 U.S.C. §§ 2252(a)(4)(B), (b)(2), and 2256, and making false statements, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Bradbury's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Bradbury did not file a *pro se* brief and the Government did not file an answering brief.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.